



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington DC 20241
www.uspto.gov

			www nabro Roa	
-		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. 09/346,283	FILING DATE 07/01/1999	MICHAEL R. FLANNERY	450.202US1	2222
GATEWAY, ATTN: KENN	IETH J. COOL		DIAZ, JOSE R	
610 GATEWA MAIL DROP	AY DRIVE Y-04		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
N. SIOUX CI	TY, SD 57049		2815	

DATE MAILED: 01/15/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/346,283	FLANNERY, MICHAEL R.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	José R. Díaz	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of Ihis communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	September 2001 .					
	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) i <b>s</b> /are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes						
a) The translation of the foreign language portion 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has be	een received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/346,283

Art Unit: 2815

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

> The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- Claims 4-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant's Specification does not support the new limitation of "having a diameter dimensions of less than 20 micrometers". For instance, see page 7, lines 2-7, wherein Applicant clearly disclosed a general dimension of about 20 micrometers, which is described in term of "a pitch" and not as "a diameter".
  - The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear to the Examiner whether the sensor element is selected from a group that only include sensors that response to a mechanical actuation or not. It seems that such limitation of "in response to a mechanical actuation to said sensor element" is not a critical limitation since as

Art Unit: 2815

acknowledged by Applicant any sensors could be used as the input devices (see page 4, lines 25-30 of the Specification).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marsh (US Patent No. 5,471,405).

Regarding claim 1, Marsh teaches a device (see cols. 1-12) comprising a support substrate (10) supporting a sensor element (14), a logic circuit (26) and a semiconductor visual display element (18) (see Figs. 1-4).

Regarding claim 7, Marsh teaches that the sensor comprises a pressure sensor (see col. 4, lines 43-45 and col. 5, lines 55-59).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/346,283

Art Unit: 2815

➤ Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marsh (US Patent No. 5,471,405) in view of Ogihara et al. (US Patent No. 6,222,208 B1).

Regarding claims 2-6, Marsh fails to teach that said visual display comprises an array of semiconductor pixels. However, Ogihara et al. teach that it is well known in the art to use GaAs LEDs as display devices, which comprise a pitch of about 20  $\mu$ m (see col. 1, lines 10-13 and 20-30, and col. 5, lines 12-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the same time the invention was made to modify Marsh to use GaAS LEDs as display devices having a pitch of about 20  $\mu$ m. The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Marsh in the manner described above for at least the purpose of providing a high precision and bright display.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/346,283

Art Unit: 2815

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Page 5

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to José R. Díaz whose telephone number is (703) 308-

6078. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 5:00 Monday through Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722

for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

JRD

January 12, 2002

FODIF LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

TECHNOLIGY OF THE 2001